

- Bolshunova, T. V. (2018), "The childfree phenomenon: a macrosocial analysis" ["Fenomen tchaildfri: makrosotsiologicheskii analiz"], *Vestnik universiteta - University Bulletin*, No. 4, P. 145-149.
- Clarke, V., Hayfield, N., Ellis, S. J. & Terry, G. (2018), "Lived experience of childfree lesbians in the United Kingdom: a qualitative exploration", *Journal of Family Issues*, Vol. 39 No. 18, P. 4133-4155.
- Dykstra, P. A. & Keiser, R. (2009), "The well-being of childless men and fathers in mid-life", *Aging & Society*, No. 29, P. 1227-1242.
- Engwall, K. (2014), "Childfreeness, parenthood and adulthood", *Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research*, Vol. 16 No. 4, P. 333-347.
- Harrington, R. (2019), "Childfree by choice", *Studies in Gender and Sexuality*, Vol. 20 No. 1, P. 22-35.
- Kramar, O. (2018), "The middleweight: neither 52 million – nor 26", *The Ukrainian Week*, No. (128), P.13-16.
- Levin, J. D. (2015), *Childlessness*, International Psychotherapy Institute E-Books, available at: www.freepsychotherapybooks.org.
- Miettinen, A. & Szalma, I. (2014), "Childless intentions and ideals in Europe", *Finnish Yearbook of Population Research XLIX*, P. 31-55.
- Pelton, S. L. & Hertlein, K. M. (2011), "A proposed life cycle for voluntary childfree couples", *Journal of Feminist Family Therapy*, Vol. 23 No. 1, P. 39-53.
- Peterson, H. (2018), "Finding 'Mr Right'? Childfree women's partner preferences". In N. Sappleton (Ed.). *Voluntary and Involuntary Childlessness: the Joys of Otherhood?*, Emerald Publishing, P. 237-259.
- Shadrina, A. (2017), *Dear children: decreasing fertility and increasing the "price" of maternity in the XXIth century [Dorogie deti: sokraschenie rozhdaemosti i rost "tseny" materinstva b XXI veke]*, Novoe literaturnoe obozrenie, Moscow.
- Strelnyk, O. (2017), *Childcare as work. A sociological perspective on mothering [Turbota yak robota: materynstvo u fokusi sotsiologii]*, Krytyka, Kyiv.

УДК 15.9:343.9 (477)

O. Bohucharova*, A. Kovalenko, L. Tishakova***

*Luhansk State University of Internal Affairs named after E.O. Didorenko,
boghucharova64@mail.ru

**Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, abk2015@ukr.net

FEATURES OF VICTIMITY MANIFESTATION OF PEOPLE SUFFERED FROM MOTOR VEHICLE THEFT

Анотація. Досліджено психологічні аспекти незаконного викрадення транспортного засобу як ситуацію втрати значущого предмету (автомобіль) та фактор віктимізації, учасника транспортного злочину. Наведено експериментальні результати від'ємного кореляційного зв'язку загального і соціального інтелекту та копінгових і когнітивно-емоційних стратегій поведінки, а також впливу даного зв'язку на посткримінальну віктимізацію особистості потерпілих водіїв. Автори доходять висновку про високий запит на психологічну підтримку постраждалих в транспортних злочинах.

Ключові слова: віктимна поведінка, соціальний інтелект, копінг, когнітивно-емоційні регулятивні стратегії, ситуація втрати (майна), кримінальна віктимізація, транспортні злочини.

Аннотация. Исследованы психологические аспекты кражи транспортного средства как ситуация утраты значимого предмета (автомобиль) и фактор виктимизации участника транспортного преступления. Приведены экспериментальные результаты обратной корреляционной связи. Чем выше показатель общего и социального интеллект, тем продуктивнее когнитивно-эмоциональные стратегии и наоборот (позитивное перефокусирование / самообвинение, катастрофизация), аналогично проблемно-, и эмоционально-ориентированные копинг-стратегии. Различия двух групп с высоким и низким интеллектом значимы (t-тест). Изучено влияние данной корреляционной связи на посткриминальную виктимизацию личности потерпевших, существенно возрастающую при высоких индексах потенциала виктимного поведения (30% выборки уже на уровне «реализованной» виктимности). Также указано на сложности в защите личной собственности со стороны полиции и системы законодательного регулирования общественно опасных с высокой латентностью преступлений. Авторы делают вывод о высоком запросе на психологическую поддержку пострадавших в транспортных преступлениях.

Ключевые слова: виктимное поведение, социальный интеллект, копинг, когнитивно-эмоциональные регулятивные стратегии, ситуация утраты (имущества), криминальная виктимизация, транспортные преступления.

Introduction. Scientific researches of economic crimes are extremely topical taking into account typical characteristics of Ukrainian realities – protracted economic crisis, military conflict and permanent reformation of economically-financial sphere into the bargain. As to illegal, unlawful possession of all types of transport vehicles, or the theft of a car that's much true. Today the common amount of cars that are in search (wanted) in the countries of the CIS and through Interpol exceeds 4.5 million units. Next to that, statistics testifies that the present stage of the theft of all types of transport vehicles is characterized by the action of many-sided complex of objective and subjective factors, considerable oscillation of dynamics and mainly due to changes in the general picture of criminality of thefts where the signs of the systemic and criminal network connections appear.

On the background of such statistics it is obvious that private or personal property does not exist out of categories of “mine-not mine”, freedom and its opposition – non-freedom possession loss, “personal property” - “unproperty”, also out of contradictions of criminals and victims of crime.

Theoretical background of our research refers to the victimology as a science that consists of criminalistics, criminology, criminal psychological knowledge (H. Henting, 1940, 1947; V. Mendelson, 1956; H. Ellenberger, 1956; A. Fattah, 1967; L. Frank, 1972; V. Polubynskyi 1979; V. Rybalska, 1979; V. Tuliakov, 1997; D. Ryvman, 2002; S. Inshakov, 2003 etc.). In psychological science exactly the “problematic” crossing of legal and social psychology gave the beginning of victimology as a field of psychological knowledge related to a personality and behavior of the victim from physical, moral or property harm (N. Volaniuk, G. Lozhkin, V. Papusha etc.). We will underline that legal, judicial and criminological aspects of the problem as a subject of both possession and theft of all types of transport vehicles unlike psychological were investigated intensively enough (D. Gorshkov, S. Kolba, M. Melnyk, O. Lyhola, V. Osadchyi, M. Svirin,

O.V. Funin and some others). This thesis is evidently demonstrated in a number of articles dealing with legislation (Article 13, 41 of the Constitutions of Ukraine, Article 316-317 of the Civil Code of Ukraine, Article 289 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine) where equality of all the subjects to the right of property before the law and punishment in cases of its violation is proclaimed.

The issue of a subject attitude to a loss – unlawful taking of object or a thing (*movable property* – car or other transport vehicles), their interdependency and going through the loss of a possession can be considered as a part of the broader concept of conscious, cognitive or emotion regulation or some of these regulation strategies, coping-strategies and, likewise, abilities of social intelligence (SI). SI is considered by most researchers as the ability to adequately understand and evaluate their own behavior and the behavior of other people. On J.P. Gillfofd's opinion, a person could be high on some of social abilities (e.g. – social translation) while being low on others (e.g. – expressing grouping). As to a driver who is a crime victim and who evidently excels at processing behavioral data and thus relate not poorly but successfully with people in the past, might be very poor at processing symbolic content such as *semantic meaning of loss situation* in the present.

As to coping-strategies, in the psychological sense, coping is defined as the spectrum of overcoming activity – from unconscious psychological defense to conscious and purposeful overcoming of difficult, stressful or criminal life-situations (R. Lazarus & P. Folkman, 1988; P. Spilberg, 1994; D. Terry, 2003). The cognitive approach to coping is based on mental process of how the individual appraises the situation – and loss situation too – which determines the level of stress and the unique coping strategies that the individual partakes by evaluating the coping resources (physical resources, social resources, psychological resources, and material resources) around him/her (R. Lazarus, S. Folkman, 1984). There are other ways to distinguish coping from the point of view of a cognitive perspective such as that of constructive and destructive thinking; concept of optimistic versus pessimistic; the perceived level of self-efficacy and self-esteem etc. There is also a definite relation between an individual's choice of behavioral coping-strategies and specific features of his social intelligence. In particular, in a number of studies, the role of social intelligence in shaping a person's readiness to work in extreme situations was revealed (N. S. Endler, J. D. A. Parker, 2003).

Obviously, the concept of cognitive emotion regulation is narrowly related to the concept of cognitive coping. But cognitive emotion regulation theory is based on the assumption that thinking and acting refer to different processes. That's why such processes as cognitive strategies and behavioral strategies have been examined. Besides, the role of cognitive emotion regulation strategies as a contributing variable in the onset and maintenance of victimization personality' disorders is important too (O. Andronnikova, 2004; O. Bantisheva, 2014; M. Odintsova, 2015; S. Harkavets, 2017). Research results have shown that the regulation of emotions by cognitions or thoughts is inextricably associated with human life and helps people to keep control over their emotions during or after the experience of threatening or stressful events (Garnefski et al., 2001; Garnefski, van den Kommer et al., 2003).

As to psychological aspects of the problem of criminological victimization we deals with propensity of a personality to become a crime victim and, on the contrary, to show adequate behavior that's controlled by the personality as a crime victim in the frame of unlawful taking of vehicles.

Thus, one of the most important *psychological interdisciplinary problem* is the research of the subjective side of the criminological victimization that is psychological peculiarities of the personalities' victimization of a male in the sphere of the autovehicles theft.

The objective of the present study is to show a special role of a general and social intelligence and cognitive emotion regulation strategies in predicting vulnerability and victim complex formation of the autoowners' personality and coping strategies as a contributing variable into cognitive dimensions of victimization personality who suffered from unlawful possession of their transport vehicles.

It is reasonable to assume that in autoowners' personality as a subject of possession of highly status or necessary things – *movable property* (car, other transport vehicles) there are some dispositional features of a crime victim which help (facilitate) the commitment of impertinent crime of a theft and vice versa there are some “strength characteristics” of a personality which help to overcome the negative crime' results.

Sample: 1) 52 participants (aged 22-49 years, gender homogeny, only males), who became victims of the illegal theft of any types of transport vehicles in Dnipro, 2016-2017 years: 70% were married, 27% were single, and 2% were divorced; 2) 52 participants and the autoowners who didn't become victims of the illegal theft; 3) the 38 policemen were additionally questionnaired. The overall sample consists of three subsamples: 52 crime victims' participants, 52 participants, the usual autoowners' users; 38 policemen. The total number of respondents is 142.

Data tools were used to measure study variables: Wanderlik Personnel Test (WPT) (Wanderlik, 1980, adapted by Buzina, 1996); the Four Factor Test of Social Intelligence (SI) (O'Sullivan & Guilford, 1976, adapted by Mikhayilova); Coping-test (Folkman & Lazarus, 1984 adapted by Vasserman at al., 1994); Ways of coping questionnaire (WCQ) (Folkman & Lazarus, 1988, adapted by Kriukova, Kuftiak, 2001); Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (CERQ), (Garnefski & Kraaij, 2007, adapted by Pisarieva, Gritsenko, 2011); a method of “Diagnostics of Inclination to Victim Behavior” (MDIVB) (Andronnikova, 2004); a method of Role-play victim behavior (RPVB) (Odintsova & Radchikova, 2012); Family Adaptability & Cohesion Evaluation Scales (Faces III) (Olson, Portner, & Lavee, 1985, adapted by Eidemiller at al., 2003); Life Orientation Test (LOT) (Scheier & Carver, 1991, adapted by Sychoy, 2008); author's questionnaire.

Relationships between cognitive strategies, coping process of a person victimization were studied by means of Pearson correlations, t-criterion of Student and Statistica package 19.0.

For verification of our hypothesis of the dispositional credible victimity in the situations of the objects or things loss, the clusterization of the sample by means of

the median splitting was done. The sample was divided into two groups on a IQ and SI ratings. As all scales of this selection corresponded to the normality of the distribution, statistical analysis was done by means of Student t- criterion.

Cluster 1 included 25 participants who were characterized respectively by the the highest mean values of variable IQ general ratings ($=30,96$) and highest mean values of SI score ($=23$), and cluster 2 included 27 participants – with the lowest mean values of variable IQ ($=15,46$) and the lowest mean values of variable SI score ($=4$).

Results. The research was carried out into two stages. On the first stage the results demonstrate after an authorial questionnaire that the autoowners felt sharp emotional reactions: offense, irritation, a sense of stalemate, confusion, attacks of fury, "a desire, that it was not a case or not with me". Besides almost all victim drivers warmly remembered their own transport vehicle: "an old comrade", "helper", "a ferrous horse" etc. After the exposure of the disappearance of their auto the drivers – the participants of empiric research tried to arrange the situation of the loss by means of kinsmen, acquaintances, communication with policemen, to the "similar to themselves", use of alcohol, drugs, calmatives, distraction, address to God and to prayers.

On the second stage the results were demonstrated after the professional psychological author' instruments – scales, questionnaire, methodics. Taking into account above mentioned, the r-Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between the types of coping strategies and the IQ (WPT), SI (Gilford) of participants suffered from illegal possession of a transport vehicle and usual autoowners' users. According to the data of the participants of empiric research it appeared that: the higher the IQ (24-30 points or higher) is, the more the crime' victims are inclined during the search of their cars to use problem-oriented coping strategies. If the victims participants have high IQ and SI, then they try somehow to solve the problems of the autotransport vehicle theft or somehow change the situation of the loss that causes stress. However, if this score is low (14 points or lower), then victim personality tries to calm down by means of psycho – physiological copings: that is to use alcohol and psychoactive remedies (drugs). As to usual autoowners' users who didn't suffer they have IQ and SI scores much higher than the participants suffered from illegal possession of a transport vehicle.

Pearson correlations' analysis of the data between types of coping strategies and the SI ratings of the crime victims proved that the higher the SI on the scale "verbal expression" is, the more crime victims' participants are apt to use *active copings strategies* – "work with a problem" ($r=0,4169$, $p\leq 0,05$). But the lower the SI ratings after the scale "the group of expressions" is, the more crime victims' participants are apt to the choice of *passive coping strategies* – "confrontation coping" and "avoidance" ($r=0,4153$, $p\leq 0,05$).

The efficiency of coping, as it is known, is the best determined by the links of certain type of coping with the testability of the difficult or criminal situation. For example, problem-oriented copings are effective in the controlled situations, emotionally-oriented – in out-of-control ones. Therefore, we appealed to individual

properties such as inclination to certain types of cognitive emotion regulation strategies, family adaptation and cohesion and optimism.

As shown in Tabl.1, cluster 2 (with the lowest IQ and SI mean values) is characterized by the lower values of positive cognitive emotion regulation strategies – positive refocusing, positive reappraisal and others and vice versa the higher values of negative cognitive emotion regulation strategies – blaming others, rumination, catastrophizing.

Table 1 shows statistically significant differences (by t-test) between the mean values of all the cognitive emotion regulation strategies. Cluster 1, in particular, is characterized by the lower values of negative ones – self-blame, blaming others, rumination, catastrophizing and the higher values of positive ones – reappraisal and higher score of unified coefficient of coping strategies efficacy. Positive refocusing per se refers to thinking about joyful and pleasant issues instead of thinking about the actual event (t-test= 4,01 $p \leq 0,05$) and positive reappraisal as a strategy refers to a positive meaning to the event in terms of personal growth (t-test- not sign.). The opposite cluster 2 appeared to be as “feed-back” to cluster 1 and higher values of cognitive emotion regulation strategies – self-blame, blaming others, rumination, catastrophizing. As it is known, the most important significant predictors of depressive symptoms are catastrophizing, rumination, and self-blame. As to depression and *active coping's strategies* they were negatively correlated with crime victims' participants' intent to looking for the theft of autovehicles and vice versa optimism was positively correlated too.

Thus, cognitive emotion regulation strategies of the cluster 1 crime victims' participants are much more to be tolerated and master negative life experiences than cluster 2 participants. That's why, non-effective cognitive emotion regulation strategies: self-blame, blaming others, rumination, catastrophizing aren't the best ones of cluster 2 crime victims participants with lowest IQ ratings and SI scores as to cope with masculine *movable property* loss.

Table 1

Inter-cluster differences in the CERQ scales and UC of Coping-test, WCQ

Scales		Mean values of strategies variables		t-test	p
		Cluster 1	Cluster 2		
CERQ	Self-blame	13,261	16,932	-4,630	0.001
	Blaming others	8,5226	10,886	-2,142	0.001
	Rumination	12,746	16,5528	-5,92	0.001
	Catastrophizing	8,331	10,243	-2,150	0.001
	Putting into perspective	13,095	12,162	1,067	n/sign.
	Positive reappraisal	12,166	13,688	-1,529	n/sign.
	Positive refocusing	15,978	13,420	4,01	0.001
	Acceptance	13,9460	14,2890	1,342	n/sign.
	Refocus on planning	15,557	14,367	1,706	n/sign.
Coping	Unified coefficient (UC) of coping strategies efficacy	0,71	0,57	4,00	0.001

The significant differences in the cognitive emotion regulation strategies are demonstrated between cluster 1 and 2 participants (t -test = -4,630; = -2,142; = -5,92; = -2,150; = 4,01, $p \leq 0,001$). Pearson correlations between coping and cognitive strategies ranged from - 0,321 (positive reappraisal) to 0,534 (catastrophizing) (no table).

As to r-Pearson's correlations between cognitive emotion regulation strategies (CERQ) and mean scores of participants' IQ, SI ratings ($r=0.4169$; $=0,4153$, $p \leq 0,05$ accordingly) positive correlations were also determined. The lower IQ (14 or lower) and SI (7 or lower) scores are the less the crime victims' participants are apt to use problem-oriented coping strategies and more predisposed to emotionally-oriented or avoidance ($r= -0.3342$; $= -0.4404$, $p \leq 0,05$ accordingly).

Analyzing psychology of a masculine loss – or loss per se of male, a considerable value is the level of family cohesion and adaptation, that, as it turned out, takes place only in relation to the differences between the indexes of cohesion depending on IQ and “ideal”, but not “real” family adaptation (ranged from t -criterion 2,518 to 2,938, $p \leq 0.05$). That is, in the context of criminal, economically heavy vital situations that the investigated autoowners overtook, only 48.9% had really high indexes of family cohesion and adaptation. Considerable part of sample did not wish to communicate on this occasion or testify to the emotional isolation in the family.

Comparing significant differences in the inclination indexes to victim behavior of crime victims participants with the high and low IQ and SI (cluster 1 and cluster 2), it is possible to see that most of the crime victims' autoowners are apt to uncritical, dependent, hypersocial behavior, but not to aggressive one. As a result, 50% autoowners of cluster 2 or 30% resultant sample have already formed index of realized victimity ($p \leq 0,05$) (no table).

As to data of the 38 policemen who were as additionally questioned. It is confirmed that a big part of male-autoowners are persons who demonstrate a conduct which deviates from the norms of life or property' safety and stipulates his vulnerability and attractiveness for an illegal car theft' criminals. But the suffered autoowners at a conscious level deny the existence of victimological qualities that really is not true. Besides, in the policemen's opinion there can be not only more Ukrainian police patrolling the street but more competitive Ukrainian policemen who do all detective actions as to car theft' criminals and better observe the Criminal Code of Ukraine (not only article 289).

Conclusions.

1. The subject's attitude towards a loss of ϕ valuable thing on the example of the illegal possession of vehicle of crime victims' autoowners and victimogenic male personalitie's vulnerability, peculiarities of masculine loss of a meaningful object have been investigated.

2. It is discovered that in a psychological aspect under crime victim's victimization it is necessary to understand potential or actual ability of person to demonstrate a conduct which deviates from the norms of life *or* property safety and stipulates it enhanceable vulnerability, availability and attractiveness for an offender or car theft' criminals. But the suffered autoowners at a conscious level

deny the existence of victimological qualities that really is not true. Above all 30% samples have already formed the index of realized victimity ($p \leq 0,05$) and they did not wish to communicate as to their emotional isolation' status in their family. Therefore, we investigated intelligence, different coping and cognitive emotion regulation strategies as a reducing victim cognitive-, emotion-, behavior factors.

3. One research benefit is that the high social intelligence as a psychological factor promotes social "effectiveness" when autoowners as victims of crime are going through the loss of a high valued possession and crime victim's victimization. Then they strive to use "problem-oriented coping strategies" (the higher the social intelligence level is, the more reliable is the usage of "work with the problem" strategies and vice versa the lower the social intelligence is, the more reliable is the "work with the attitude toward a problem" or escape-avoidance strategies. One more benefit is that there can be not only more Ukrainian police patrolling the street but more competitive Ukrainian policemen who do all detective actions as to car theft' criminals but also many consulting psychologists who help to reduce the victim behavior features and start to work with the crime theft victims' autoowners for subjective well-being.

References

- Bantisheva, O. O. (2014). *Osnovni pidhodi do doslidzhennja problemi shil'nosti osobistosti do viktymnoi povedinki*, ATOPOL, Kyiv.
- Hughes, M. (2009). *Handbook for developing emotional and social intelligence. Best practices, case studies, and strategies*, San Francisco.
- Kraaij, V., Garnefski, N., Wilde, E.J. de, Dijkstra, A., Gebhardt, W., Maes, S., & Doest, L. (2003). Negative life events and depressive symptoms in late adolescence: Bonding and cognitive coping as vulnerability factors? *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, No 32, P. 185–193.

УДК 159.97

В. В. Корнієнко

Дніпровський національний університет імені Олеся Гончара
viktoria_korn@ukr.net

ОСОБЛИВОСТІ СОЦІАЛЬНОГО ФУНКЦІОНУВАННЯ ТА МІЖОСОБИСТІСНИХ КОМУНІКАЦІЙ ЯК СКЛАДОВІ ПСИХОЛОГІЧНОГО РЕАБІЛІТАЦІЙНОГО ПОТЕНЦІАЛУ ПАЦІЄНТІВ ІЗ ДЕПРЕСИВНИМИ ПОРУШЕННЯМИ

Анотація. Розглянуто й обґрунтовано актуальність проблеми існування впливу соціального функціонування та міжособистісних комунікацій на формування психологічного реабілітаційного потенціалу пацієнтів із депресивними порушеннями. Встановлено, що соціальне функціонування, особливості міжособистісних і сімейних комунікацій, життєвої задоволеності та специфіка ціннісно-мотиваційної сфери є вагомими компонентами, які визначають психологічний реабілітаційний потенціал пацієнтів із депресивними розладами.

Ключові слова: соціальне функціонування, міжособистісна комунікація, психологічний реабілітаційний потенціал, депресивні порушення.

O. Bohucharova, A. Kovalenko, L. Tishakova

Features of victimity manifestation of people suffered from motor vehicle theft

Background. In psychological science exactly the “problematic” crossing point of legal and social psychology gave the beginning of victimology as the field of psychological knowledge related to a personality and behavior of the victim suffering from physical, moral or property harm.

The **objective** of the present study is to show a special role of general and social intelligence and cognitive emotion regulation strategies in predicting vulnerability and victim complex formation of the personality of automobile owners who suffered from motor vehicle theft.

Method. WPT (Wanderlik); Four Factor Test of Social Intelligence (O’Sullivan & Guilford); Coping-test, WCQ (Folkman & Lazarus); CERQ (Garnefski & Kraaij); MDIVB (Andronnikova); RPVB (Odintsova & Radchikova); FACES III (Olson, Portner, & Lavee); LOT (Scheier & Carver) and author’s questionnaire. r-linear Pearson correlation coefficient, t-criterion of Student and STATISTICA package 19.0. were also used.

The **sample** included the following groups of testers: 1) 52 males, aged 22-49, who became victims of theft of any types of transport vehicles in Dnipro in 2016-2017; 2) 52 participants – auto owners who didn’t become victims of the illegal theft; 3) 38 policemen. The total number of respondents – 142.

Results. Results of correlation (with the help of r-linear Pearson correlation coefficient) have been demonstrated. The automobile owners as victims of crime with high general and social intelligence tend to choose more often positive cognitive emotional regulation strategies than drivers with low intelligence in the situations of loss (positive refocusing via self-blame, rumination, catastrophizing) (t-test). The higher the general and social intelligence level is, the more reliable is the usage of “work with the problem” coping strategy and on the other hand the lower is the “work with the attitude toward a problem” or escape-avoidance strategy. It is important to stress that 30% of sample with low general and social intelligence have already formed index of realized (implemented) victimity. On the basis of the analysis some difficulties of the Ukrainian policemen have been shown too.

Conclusion. The high social intelligence promotes social “effectiveness” of the personality. Social intelligence and cognitive emotion regulation strategies can be considered as reducing victim factors. The data obtained can be used in the course of counseling automobile owners as victims of crime.

Keywords: victim behavior, situation of criminal victimization, intelligence, coping strategies, cognitive emotional regulation strategies, motor vehicle theft.